Dvorak & Associates, LLC Attorneys-at-Law

Lori A. Dvorak Certified by the Supreme Court of New Jersey as a Civil Trial Attorney

Warren E. Hare, Of Counsel Marc D. Mory Danielle Abouzeid Paul A. Kaster



390 George Street. 8th Floor. New Brunswick, NJ 08901 Phone: (732) 317-0130. Fax: (732) 317-0140. www.dvorakandassociates.com

April 6, 2011

Hon. Esther Salas, U.S.M.J. United States District Court, Newark M.L. King Jr., Federal Building and Courthouse 50 Walnut Street Newark, New Jersey 07102

Re: Germe, Lenus v. Edison Township, et al.

Civil Action No.: 2:10-cy-02528-PGS-ES

Our File No.: 1075-1208

Dear Magistrate Judge Salas:

In regard to the above-referenced matter, the undersigned represents defendant, Officer Capriglione. A motion for a Stay or for a Protective Order is presently returnable before your Honor. Pursuant to permission granted by your Honor at the recent telephone conference, please accept the within in further support of Defendant's motion for a stay or protective order.

This supplemental letter brief is necessitated by events which occurred *after* the completion of the briefing of the within motion, but before your Honor has ruled.

Defendants had previously represented that to their knowledge, no grand jury had been impaneled. This representation is now outdated. On March 28, 2011, officials of the Township of Edison received a "Subpoena to Testify before Grand Jury." A copy of same is annexed hereto as Exhibit 6. The subpoena requires the production of documents as follows:

[a]ny and all records and documents, including correspondence and hearing transcripts, related to the investigations of the arrests of the following individuals: Linus or Lenus Germe...

¹The Subpoena is redacted *only* as to the name of the particular official whose subpoena is being attached herewith.

Dvorak & Associates, LLC Attorneys-at-Law

April 6, 2011 Page 2

The subpoena commands an appearance on Friday, April 1, 2011 at the Grand Jury Room (1940) of the Martin Luther King Jr. Federal Bldg. & Courthouse at 9:45 a.m. The Assistant United States Attorney named on the Subpoena is Shana W. Chen of the United States Attorney's Office in Newark. Given the issuance of the subpoena, the circumstances surrounding the status of the federal investigation have materially changed.

Under the six factors enunciated by the Court in <u>Walsh v. Securities, Inc. v. Cristo Property Management, Ltd.</u>, 7 F.Supp.2d 523, 526 (D.N.J. 1998), recent developments bear directly on several factors.

- With respect to factor one, the overlap of the issues, plaintiff opposed this defendant's motion for a stay claiming the Certification of Charles Sciarra represented, in only conclusory terms, that the federal investigation involved Mr. Germe. The within subpoena dispels any question and clearly demonstrates there is an ongoing and active federal investigation into the events surrounding the arrest of Lenus Germe on May 20, 2008 which investigation is identical to the subject matter of the within litigation.²
- With respect to factor two, the stage of the proceedings, plaintiff relies upon the lack of a grand jury proceeding in support of his position that a stay is inappropriate. Based upon the issuance of subpoenas for material which is identical to the subject matter of the within litigation, this factor fully weighs in favor of defendant's request for a stay or protective order. As cited by plaintiff, the greatest potential for self-incrimination occurs after indictment, and clearly, the grand jury proceedings is the last pre-cursor step in that regard. In Walsh, the Court granted a stay pre-indictment where subpoenas had been issued. Similar to Walsh, defendants submit this matter is appropriate for a stay.
- With respect to factor five, plaintiff has argued that "in the case at bar, it is unclear that there is even an indictment on the horizon..." (Pb at 9, docket entry #48). Certainly, the circumstances have changed and a grand jury has been impaneled and subpoenas issued. Defendants reiterate that a stay is appropriate.

²Defendants further rely upon the statement of plaintiff's expert by which plaintiff confirms the existence of a federal investigation into the same subject matter of the within litigation. Same is set forth fully in this defendant's reply brief at docket entry #49 at 2.

Dvorak & Associates, LLC Attorneys-at-Law

April 6, 2011 Page 3

Based upon these recent developments, defendant submits this supplemental letter brief in further support of his motion for a stay or limited protective order.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lori A. Dvorak
LORI A. DVORAK

cc: Lennox S. Hinds, Esq.
Christopher Killmurray, Esq.
Alan J. Baratz, Esq.
Patrick J. Bradshaw, Esq.
John J. Kane, Esq.
Lawrence Y. Bitterman, Esq.

Attached hereto as Exhibit "6" is a true redacted copy of a subpoena served by the United States Attorney. I certify that the foregoing statements made by me are true. I am aware that if any of the foregoing statements made by me are wilfully false, I am subject to punishment.

/s/ Lori A. Dvorak

the state of the s

Exhibit 6

U nited	States District of MI	ict Court			
TO: Township of Edison 100 Municipal Boulevard Edison, NJ 08817	BEF	SUBPOENA TO TESTIFY BEFORE GRAND JURY SUBPOENA FOR: DI PERSON DI DOCUMENT(S) OR OBJECT(S)			
YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to at the place, date, and time specified below.					
PLACE			ROOM		
Martin Luther King Jr. Federal Bldg. & Co 50 Walnut Street	urthouse ·		Grand Jury Room 1040 DATE AND TIME		
Newark, New Jersey 07102	Ē		April 1, 2011 9:45 a.m.		
ichael Rebeck. Please contact Special Agent Sean Quinn	at (862) 849-6777 with a	any questions or	to confirm date and time		
Please see additional information on reverse. This subpoena shall remain in effect unt	il you are granted leave to	depart by the cou	art or by an officer acting o		
behalf of the court.		ATE			
WILLIAM T. WALSH (By) Deputy Clerk	!		-28-2011		
CAROWK					
This subpoena is issued on application of the United States of America	Shana W. Chen, AUSA 970 Broad Street	Soulc	SSISTANT U.S. ATTORNEY		
PAUL J. FISHMAN United States Atlomey	United States Attorney's (Newark, New Jersey 071		5		
* If not applicable, enter "none"			FORM OBD-227 Revised April 2000		

		RETL	JRN OF SERVICE "	1}	
	DATE	PLACE			
RECEIVED BY SERVER	DATE				
	DATE	PLACE			
SERVED					
ERVED ON (NAME)			•		
			·:		
SERVED BY			TITLE		
		STATEMENT	OF SERVICE FEES		
RAVEL		SERVICES		TOTAL	
,					
		DECLARATI	ONOF SERVER (2)		
1.0	leclare under nenalty (of periury under ti	he laws of the United St Service and Statement	ates of America th	at the foregoing
10	ieciale diaci politica. Information containe	d in the Return of	Service and Statement	of Service Fees is	The and correct.
		•			
Executed	on	Si	gnature of Server	······································	
Executed	on Date	Si			
Executed	Date	Sig			
Executed	Date	_	gnature of Server		
		_			
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
Executed ADDITIONAL INFOR		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		
		_	gnature of Server		

(1) As to who may serve a subpocha and the manner of its service see não 17(6), Federal Ruios of Criminal Procedure, or Ruio 45(c), Federal Ruios of Criminal Procedure.

(2) Fees and miseage need not be tendened to the extress upon service of a subspecial issued on behalf of the United States or an officer or agency trained (Rule 45(c), Federal Rules of Chill Procedure) or on behalf of centern indigent periods and criminal defendants who are unable to pay such costs (28 USC 1625, Rule 17(b) Federal Rules. of Command Procedure)*.